

YOEL INBAR

Curriculum Vitae

Mailing Address:	Department of Psychology University of Toronto 1265 Military Trail Toronto, Ontario M1C 1A4, Canada	Phone:	(416) 523-8709
		E-Mail:	yoel.inbar@utoronto.ca
		Website:	http://yoelinbar.net

Employment

2019-present: Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, University of Toronto Scarborough.

2014-2019: Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, University of Toronto Scarborough.

2010-2014: Assistant Professor, Department of Social Psychology, Tilburg University.

2012-2013: Visiting Assistant Professor, OPIM Department, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania.

2008-2010: Post-Doctoral Fellow, Harvard Decision Science Laboratory, Harvard University.

Education

Ph.D., Social and Personality Psychology, Cornell University, August 2008. Primary advisor: Thomas Gilovich.

B.A., Psychology (with honors), University of California at Berkeley, 2000.

Grants

Insight Grant (\$97,698). *The moralization of political conflict: Content and consequences of moral language use among political elites*. Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, 2022-2026.

Insight Development Grant (\$38,200). *Moral language across the political spectrum*. Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, 2017-2019.

Insight Grant (\$71,106). *Moralized attitudes towards genetically engineered food*. Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, 2017-2022.

Research Competitiveness Fund (\$10,000). *Moral rhetoric across the political spectrum*. University of Toronto Scarborough, 2016.

Connaught New Researcher Award (\$10,000). *Moralized opposition to genetically modified food*. University of Toronto, 2015.

Center for Public Leadership Research Grant (\$10,000). *The sunk-cost bias in leadership decision making*. Harvard University, 2009.

Fellowships and Honors

SPSP Fellow. Society for Personality and Social Psychology, 2019-present.

Research Fellowship. Harmony Institute, New York, NY, 2016.

Sage Graduate Research Fellowship. Cornell University, 2003-2004, 2007-2008.

Distinction in General Scholarship. University of California at Berkeley, 2000.

Journal Articles

Amormino, P., Mercier, B., & Inbar, Y. (in press). Anticipated affect predicts moral praise and character judgments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 10.1037/pspa0000377.

- Burgess, M. G., Van Boven, L., Wagner, G., Wong-Parodi, G., Baker, K., Boykoff, M., Converse, B. A., Dilling, L., Gilligan, J. M., Inbar, Y., Markowitz, E., Moyer, J.D., Newton, P., Raimi, K. T., Shrum, T., & Vandenbergh, M. P. (in press). Supply, demand, and polarization challenges facing U.S. climate policies. *Nature Climate Change*.
- Gonzales Coffin, S., Eichhorst, W., Carrico, A. R., Inbar, Y., Newton, P., & Van Boven, L. (in press). Perceived naturalness predicts public support for sustainable protein technology. *Climatic Change*.
- Reid, J., & Inbar, Y. (in principle acceptance). Implicit Ideologies: Do right-wing authoritarianism and social dominance orientation predict implicit attitudes? *Peer Community in Registered Reports*. <https://osf.io/zv4jw>.
- Evers, E. R. K., O'Donnell, M., & Inbar, Y. (2023). Arbitrary fairness in rewards and punishments. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *152*, 956-967.
- Inbar, Y., & Waldhof, G. (2023). Mitigating consequence insensitivity for genetically engineered crops. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, *29*, 584-598.
- Schwartz, S. A., & Inbar, Y. (2023). Is it good to feel bad about littering? Conflict between moral beliefs and behaviors for everyday transgressions. *Cognition*, *236*, 105437.
- van Leeuwen, F., Inbar, Y., Petersen, M. B., Aarøe, L., Barclay, P., Barlow, F. K., de Barra, M., Becker, D. V., Borovoi, L., Choi, J., Consedine, N. S., Conway, J. R., Conway, P., Adoric, V. C., Demirci, E., Fernández, A. M., Ferreira, D. C. S., Ishii, K., Jakšić, I., ... Tybur, J. M. (2023). Disgust sensitivity relates to attitudes toward gay men and lesbian women across 31 nations. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, *26*, 629-651.
- Costa, E., Inbar, Y., & Tannenbaum, D. (2022). Do registered reports make scientific findings more believable to the public? *Collabra: Psychology*, *8*, 32607.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2022). How disgust affects social judgments. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, *65*, 109-166.
- Osmann, J., Page-Gould, E., Inbar, Y., Dvorkin, J., Walmsley, D., & Feinstein, A. (2022). Validation of the Toronto moral injury scale for journalists. *Traumatology*. 10.1037/trm0000409. Advance online publication.
- Wang, S.-Y. N., & Inbar, Y. (2022). Re-examining the spread of moralized rhetoric from political elites: Effects of valence and ideology. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *151*, 3292-3303.
- Inbar, Y., & Evers, E. R. K. (2021). Worse is bad: Divergent inferences from logically equivalent comparisons. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. 10.1037/xge0000804. Advance online publication.
- Osmann, J., Dvorkin, J., Inbar, Y., Page-Gould, E., & Feinstein, A. (2021). The emotional well-being of journalists exposed to traumatic events: A mapping review. *Media, War & Conflict*, *14*, 476-502.
- Ruisch, B. C., Anderson, R. A., Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2021). A matter of taste: Gustatory sensitivity predicts political ideology. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *121*, 394-409.
- Wang, S.-Y. N., & Inbar, Y. (2021). Moral-Language use by U.S. political elites. *Psychological Science*, *32*, 14-26.
- Inbar, Y. (2020). Unjustified generalization: An overlooked consequence of ideological bias. *Psychological Inquiry*, *31*, 90-93.
- Inbar, Y., Phelps, J., & Rozin, P. (2020). Recency negativity: Newer food crops are evaluated less favorably. *Appetite*, *154*.
- Kupfer, R. K., Inbar, Y., & Tybur, J. M. (2020). Reexamining the role of intent in moral judgements of purity violations. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *91*.

- Feinberg, M., Kovacheff, C., Teper, R., & Inbar, Y. (2019). Understanding the process of moralization: How eating meat becomes a moral issue. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 117*, 50–72.
- Fernbach, P. M., Light, N., Scott, S.E., Inbar, Y., & Rozin, P. (2019). Extreme opponents of genetically modified foods know the least but think they know the most. *Nature Human Behaviour, 3*, 251–256.
- Eitan, O., Viganola, D., Inbar, Y., Dreber, A., Johannesson, M., Pfeiffer, T., Thau, S., & Uhlmann, E. L. (2018). Is research in social psychology politically biased? Systematic empirical tests and a forecasting survey to address the controversy. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 79*, 188-199.
- Kovacheff C., Schwartz, S., Inbar, Y., & Feinberg, M. (2018). The problem with morality: Impeding progress and increasing divides. *Social Issues and Policy Review, 12*, 218-257.
- Lelieveld, G. J., Inbar, Y., & van Dijk, E. (2018). Explaining reluctance to benefit from others' misfortune. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 31*, 662-672.
- Scott, S. E., Inbar, Y., Wirz, C. D., Brossard, D., & Rozin, P. (2018). An overview of attitudes toward genetically engineered food. *Annual Review of Nutrition, 38*, 459-479.
- Evers, E. R. K., Inbar, Y., Blanken, I., & Oosterwijk, L. D. (2017). When do people prefer carrots to sticks? A robust “matching effect” in policy evaluation. *Management Science, 63*, 4261-4276.
- Crawford, J. T., Brandt, M. J., Inbar, Y., Chambers, J. R., & Motyl, M. (2017). Social and economic ideologies differentially predict prejudice across the political spectrum, but social issues are most divisive. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 112*, 383-412.
- Crawford, J. T., Brandt, M. J., Inbar, Y., & Mallinas, S. R. (2016). Right-Wing Authoritarianism predicts prejudice equally toward “gay men and lesbians” and “homosexuals.” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 111*, e31-e45.
- Inbar, Y. (2016). Association between “contextual dependence” and replicability in psychology may be spurious. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1608676113
- Inbar, Y., Scott, S. E., & Rozin, P. (2016). Gray and Schein's (2016) objections are theoretically and statistically faulty. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 11*, 330-332.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2016). “Pathogens and politics: Current research and new questions.” *Personality and Social Psychology Compass, 10*, 365-374.
- Inbar, Y., Westgate, E. C., Pizarro, D. A., & Nosek, B. A. (2016). Can a naturally-occurring pathogen threat change social attitudes? Evaluations of gay men and lesbians during the 2014 Ebola epidemic. *Social Psychological and Personality Science, 7*, 420-427.
- Scott, S. E., Inbar, Y., & Rozin, P. (2016). Evidence for absolute moral opposition to genetically modified food in the United States. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 11*, 315-324.
- Tybur, J. M., Inbar, Y., et al. (2016). Parasite stress and pathogen avoidance relate to distinct dimensions of political ideology across 30 nations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 113*, 12408-12413.
- Brenner, C. J., & Inbar, Y. (2015). Disgust sensitivity predicts political ideology and policy attitudes in the Netherlands. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 45*, 27-38.
- Colombo, M., Bucher, L., & Inbar, Y. (2015). Explanatory judgment, moral offense and value-free science. *Review of Philosophy and Psychology, 1*-21.
- Inbar, Y., & Lammers, J. (2015). Increasing ideological tolerance in social psychology [Peer commentary on “Political diversity will improve social psychological science” by J. L. Duarte et al.]. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 38*, 29-30.

- Tybur, J.M., Inbar, Y., Molho, C., & Güler, E. (2015). Is the relationship between pathogen avoidance and ideological conservatism explained by sexual strategies? *Evolution and Human Behavior*, *36*, 489-497.
- Tybur, J. M., Inbar, Y., Güler, E., & Molho, C. (2015). Pathogen disgust requires no defense: A response to Shook, Terrizzi, Clay, & Oosterhoff (2015). *Evolution and Human Behavior*, *36*, 502-504.
- Crawford, J., Inbar, Y., & Maloney, V. (2014). Disgust sensitivity selectively predicts attitudes toward groups that threaten (or uphold) traditional sexual morality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *70*, 218-223.
- Evers, E. R. K., Inbar, Y., & Zeelenberg, M. (2014). Set-fit effects in choice. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *143*, 504-509.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2014). Disgust, politics, and responses to threat [Peer commentary on “Differences in negativity bias underlie variations in political ideology” by J. R. Hibbing, K. B. Smith, & J. R. Alford]. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *37*, 315-316.
- Avramova, Y. R., & Inbar, Y. (2013). Emotion and moral judgment. *WIREs Cognitive Science*, *4*, 169-178.
- Critcher, C. R., Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2013). How quick decisions illuminate moral character. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *4*, 308-315.
- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., Gilovich, T., & Ariely, D. (2013). Moral masochism: On the connection between guilt and self-punishment. *Emotion*, *13*, 14-18.
- Van Wolferen, J., Inbar, Y., & Zeelenberg, M. (2013). Magical thinking in predictions of negative events: Evidence for tempting fate but not for a protection effect. *Judgment and Decision Making*, *8*, 44-53.
- Inbar, Y., & Lammers, J. (2012). Political diversity in social and personality psychology. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *7*, 496-503.
- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., & Bloom, P. (2012). Disgusting smells cause decreased liking of gay men. *Emotion*, *12*, 23-27.
- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., & Cushman, F. (2012). Benefiting from misfortune: when harmless actions are judged to be morally blameworthy. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *38*, 52-62.
- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., Iyer, R., & Haidt, J. (2012). Disgust sensitivity, political conservatism, and voting. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *5*, 537-544.
- Carnevale, J. J., Inbar, Y., & Lerner, J. S. (2011). Individual differences in need for cognition and decision-making competence among leaders. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *51*, 274-278.
- Inbar, Y., Botti, S., & Hanko, K. (2011). Decision speed and choice regret: When haste feels like waste. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *47*, 533-540.
- Inbar, Y., & Gilovich, T. (2011). Angry, but adjusting? The effect of specific emotions on adjustment from self-generated anchors. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *6*, 563-569.
- Pizarro, D. A., Inbar, Y., & Helion, C. (2011). On disgust and moral judgment. *Emotion Review*, *3*, 267-268.
- Inbar, Y., Cone, J., & Gilovich, T. (2010). People’s intuitions about intuitive insight and intuitive choice. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *99*, 232-247.
- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., & Bloom, P. (2009). Conservatives are more easily disgusted than liberals. *Cognition & Emotion*, *23*, 714-725.
- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., Knobe, J., & Bloom, P. (2009). Disgust sensitivity predicts intuitive disapproval of gays. *Emotion*, *9*, 435-439.

Other Articles and Book Chapters

- Wang, S.Y.N. & Inbar, Y. (in press). The moral rhetoric of political representatives. In Dehghani, M. &

- Boyd, R.L. (Eds.). *The Atlas of Language Analysis in Psychology*.
- Inbar, Y. (2017). Applied moral psychology. In J. Graham & K. Gray (Eds.), *The Atlas of Moral Psychology*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Inbar, Y., & Lammers, J. (2016). Political diversity in social psychology: Problems and solutions. In P. Valdesolo & J. Graham (Eds.), *Social Psychology of Political Polarization*. New York, NY: Taylor and Francis.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2015). Explaining the influence of disgust on political judgment: A disease-avoidance account. In J. P. Forgas, K. Fiedler, and W. D. Crano (Eds.), *Social Psychology and Politics* (pp. 163-172). Hove, United Kingdom: Psychology Press.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2014). Pollution and purity in moral and political judgment. In J. Wright and H. Sarkissian (Eds.), *Advances in Experimental Moral Psychology: Affect, Character, and Commitments* (pp. 111-129). New York, NY: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Critcher, C. R., & Inbar, Y. (2013). When does a defendant's impulsivity exculpate vs. incriminate? *The Jury Expert*, 25, 19-24.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2013). Political psychology. In H. Pashler (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of the Mind* (pp. 608-611). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2009). Grime and punishment: How disgust influences moral, social, and legal judgments. *The Jury Expert*, 21, 11-23.

Team Science Data Collection

- Bago, B., Kovacs, M., Protzko, J., Nagy, T., Kekecs, Z., Palfi, B., Adamkovic, M., Adamus, S., Albalooshi, S., Albayrak-Aydemir, N., Alfian, I. N., Alper, S., Alvarez-Solas, S., Alves, S. G., Amaya, S., Andresen, P. K., Anjum, G., Ansari, D., Arriaga, P., ... Aczel, B. (2022). Situational factors shape moral judgements in the trolley dilemma in Eastern, Southern and Western countries in a culturally diverse sample. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 6, 880–895.
- Brandt, M. J., Kuppens, T., Spears, R., Andrighetto, L., Autin, F., Babincak, P., Badea, C., Bae, J., Batruch, A., Becker, J. C., Bocian, K., Bodroža, B., Bourguignon, D., Bukowski, M., Butera, F., Butler, S. E., Chrysoschoou, X., Conway, P., Crawford, J. T., ... Zimmerman, J. L. (2020). Subjective status and perceived legitimacy across countries. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 50, 921–942.
- Klein, R. A., Vianello, M., Hasselman, F., Adams, B. G., Adams, R. B., Alper, S., Aveyard, M., Axt, J. R., Babalola, M. T., Bahník, Š., Batra, R., Berkics, M., Bernstein, M. J., Berry, D. R., Bialobrzeska, O., Binan, E. D., Bocian, K., Brandt, M. J., Busching, R., ... Nosek, B. A. (2018). Many Labs 2: Investigating Variation in Replicability Across Samples and Settings. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, 1, 443–490.
- Schweinsberg, M., Madan, N., Vianello, M., Sommer, S. A., Jordan, J., Tierney, W., Awtrey, E., Zhu, L. L., Diermeier, D., Heinze, J. E., Srinivasan, M., Tannenbaum, D., Bivolaru, E., Dana, J., Davis-Stober, C. P., du Plessis, C., Gronau, Q. F., Hafenbrack, A. C., Liao, E. Y., ... Uhlmann, E. L. (2016). The pipeline project: Pre-publication independent replications of a single laboratory's research pipeline. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 66, 55–67.

Working Papers and Research in Progress (Selected)

- Inbar, Y., Scott, S. E., & Rozin, P. (2023). Opposition to genetically engineered food is often moral: Evidence from the United States, France, and Germany. *Working paper*.
- Mercier, B., White, C. J. M., & Inbar, Y. (2023). Bad victims: Perpetrators are seen as less immoral when they harm victims who have previously committed immoral actions. *Working paper*.
- Schwartz, S., Reid, J. S., & Inbar, Y. (2022). Mapping attitudes towards controversial scientific technologies. *Working paper*.
- Scott, S. E., Inbar, Y., & Rozin, P. (2022). What, if anything, changes moral absolutist opposition to genetically engineered food? *Working paper*.

Wang, S.-Y. N., Côté, E., & Inbar, Y. (invited revision). The effect of politicians' moral rhetoric on affective polarization and political engagement. Invited revision, *Nature Communications Psychology*.

External Service (Selected)

Associate Editor at *Psychological Science* (January 2022-present).

Senior Editor for Social Psychology at *Collabra: Psychology* (January 2020-December 2022).

Co-organizer of the Judgment and Decision-Making Preconference at the annual meeting of the Society for Personality and Social Psychology (2020-2023).

Ad-hoc Reviewer: *American Journal of Political Science*; *American Political Science Review*; *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*; *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*; *British Journal of Social Psychology*; *Cognition*; *Cognition and Emotion*; *European Journal of Social Psychology*; *Evolution & Human Behavior*; *Evolutionary Psychology*; *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*; *Journal of Consumer Psychology*; *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*; *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*; *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*; *Journal of Personality*; *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*; *Management Science*; *Motivation and Emotion*; *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*; *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*; *Personality and Social Psychology Review*; *Perspectives on Psychological Science*; *PLoS ONE*; *Political Behavior*; *Psychological Science*; *Psychology, Public Policy, & Law*; *Self And Identity*; *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*; *Social Psychology*.